

REMARKS

Claims 14 to 26 are now pending.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested based on the following.

Applicants thank the Examiner for acknowledging the claim for foreign priority and for indicating that all certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

Applicants thank the Examiner for indicating that claims 14 to 26 contain allowable subject matter. It is respectfully submitted that the indefiniteness rejections have been overcome as explained herein, so that claims 14 to 26 are allowable.

Figures 4 and 5 were objected to and have been amended. No new matter has been added, and the Replacement Sheets are supported by the present application, including the specification. Approval and entry of Replacement Figures 4 and 5 are respectfully requested, as is withdrawal of the drawing objection.

The disclosure was objected to for not defining the acronym "CF." The specification has been amended. No new matter has been added, and support is provided by the present application. Withdrawal of this objection is respectfully requested.

Claims 14 to 26 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 as indefinite.

Claims 14 and 17 now provide for "modulating the pulse signal for controlling the RF switch in such a manner whereby the spectral lines of the pulse signal are expanded without causing *any degree of decorrelation between the modulated and unmodulated pulse signals*," and further provide that the "at least one RF switch in the transmission branch receives a frequency-modulated pulse signal from the control unit, whereby radar pulses are generated by passing the continuous microwave signal through the at least one RF switch in the transmission branch that is periodically controlled by the frequency-modulated pulse signal from the control unit, and wherein the frequency-modulated pulse signal from the control unit for controlling the at least one RF switch in the transmission branch is modulated in such a manner whereby the spectral lines of the pulse signal are expanded without causing any degree of decorrelation between the modulated and unmodulated pulse signals" respectively. Withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 15 and 16 depend from claim 14, and are therefore allowable for at least the same reasons as claim 14.

Claims 18 to 26 depend from claim 17, and are therefore allowable for at least the same reasons as claim 17.

In summary, all pending claims are allowable.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, all pending claims are allowable. It is therefore respectfully requested that the objection and rejections be withdrawn. Prompt reconsideration and allowance of the present application are therefore respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,
KENYON & KENYON LLP

Dated: 8/9/2007

By: Gerard A. Messina

Gerard A. Messina
(Reg. No. 35,952)

One Broadway
New York, NY 10004
(212) 425-7200

CUSTOMER NO. 26646

Handwritten:
Reg. No. 33,865
Haran C.
DEPT 172H